

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/892,880	06/28/2001	James S. Watkins	5793.3067-00	3039		
22852 7	22852 7590 07/20/2005			EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			CHANKONG, DOHM			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2152	<u> </u>		
			DATE MAILED: 07/20/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/892,880	WATKINS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Dohm Chankong	2152		

	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Dohm Chankong	2152				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
· =	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). 	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because			
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			(/ -			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendm	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:						
DC C						
			C. Dinh			

Dung C. Dinh
Primary Examiner

Applicant has argued that no new matter needs to be considered in regards to the proposed amendments. However, Examiner does not believe that the previous claims disclosed that the servers must only perform file input-output type functions. Nor was this functionality inherent in the previous claim language. The previous claims disclosed that the servers were file servers but did not limit them to only certain operations. Claims 8 and 16 only disclose the data share unit that prevents simultaneous access to a storage device. Therefore, with the addition of the limitation, further consideration would be required.